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What is Zero Net Energy?

CA Department of General Services Definitions

- **ZNE building** – An energy-efficient building where, on a source energy basis, the actual annual consumed energy is less than or equal to the on-site renewable generated energy.

- **ZNE campus** – An energy-efficient campus where, on a source energy basis, the actual annual consumed energy is less than or equal to the on-site renewable generated energy.

- **ZNE portfolio** - An energy-efficient portfolio in which, on a source energy basis, the actual annual consumed energy is less than or equal to the on-site renewable generated energy.

- **ZNE community** – An energy-efficient community where, on a source energy basis, the actual annual consumed energy is less than or equal to the on-site renewable generated energy.
California’s ZNE Building Goals

All new **residential** construction in California will be ZNE by 2020.

All new **commercial** construction will be ZNE by 2030.

All new & major renovations of **state** buildings shall be ZNE 2025; 50% at 2020.

Legislation!
- SB 350
- AB 32/SB 32
- AB 793/AB 758
- AB 802

50% of existing **commercial** buildings will be retrofit to ZNE by 2030.

IBEW Zero Net Energy Center, San Leandro
CA Policies Have Catalysed the Market

- Production Builders Envision, Meritage, DeYoung, Pulte all developing ZNE residential communities

- Local Governments with ZNE policies and codes
  - Lancaster – Solar Roofs
  - Palo Alto – ZNE Policy
  - Santa Monica – ZNE Policy
  - Hayward – ZNE public buildings
  - Sonoma and Marin CCAs
  - San Diego

And more…. 
A National Model for Sustainability

Newhall Ranch will set a new standard for sustainability through a comprehensive array of green innovations onsite and within L.A. County, as well as funding direct emissions reduction activities locally, in California, and around the world. From green buildings that encourage energy efficiency to a robust transportation management program, Newhall Ranch will create a model for living and working sustainably in California.

Upholding Green Building & Design Standards

- Innovative energy efficiency measures and renewable energy generation (e.g., solar power) to design homes, commercial buildings and public facilities to meet Zero Net Energy standards within Newhall Ranch.
CA Policies Have Catalysed the Market

• Production Builders Envision, Meritage, DeYoung, Pulte all developing ZNE residential communities
• Local Governments with ZNE policies and codes
  • Lancaster – Solar Roofs
  • Palo Alto – ZNE Policy
  • Santa Monica – ZNE Policy
  • Hayward – ZNE public buildings
  • Sonoma and Marin CCAs
  • San Diego
  • And more…

ZNE is Growing...

Growth of ZNE and Ultra-Low Energy Buildings

Source: New Building Institute (national data)
CPUC’s Role in Zero Net Energy

- Long-Term Energy Efficiency Strategic Plan
  - New Residential ZNE Action Plan
  - Working with CEC on meeting SB 350 + other goals
- Oversee and direct funds for IOU’s new construction and key energy efficiency programs
  - California Advance Home Program (Res. New Construction)
  - Savings By Design (Commercial New Construction)
  - Emerging Technologies
  - EM&V Studies on Market, Feasibility and Costs for ZNE
  - Codes Programs
- Leading State Policy Maker/Advocate for ZNE
2019 Standards Goals – Path to the Future

1. Increase building energy efficiency cost effectively

2. For Part 6, make progress toward the ZNE goal as possible within the confines of NEM and life cycle costing rules, while recognizing that Part 6 is an important but not the only tool for achieving ZNE

3. Contribute to the State’s GHG reduction goals

4. Promote self-utilization of the PV generation by encouraging or requiring demand flexibility and grid harmonization strategies

5. Provide independent compliance path for both mixed-fuel and all electric homes

6. Achieve the above goals while ensuring real benefits for the building occupants with positive benefit to cost ratios for all efficiency and generation measures

7. Provide the tools for local governments to adopt ordinances to achieve ZNE through Part 11 Reach Codes, and other beyond code practices

The proposed 2019 Standards strategy will accomplish all seven goals listed above
Builds on Commission’s Energy Design Rating Tool

- Energy Design Rating (EDR) score show how close a home is to the ZNE target
  - Aligned with RESNET
  - Reference home is a 2006 IECC compliant home, EDR=100
  - A score of zero means the house is a ZNE building

- CEC’s CBECC-Res software has the capability to calculate EDR scores for EE and PV

- Builders can use a combination of envelope energy efficiency features, better appliances, PVs, and other strategies to get to the target EDR

Download CBECC-Res here for free:

http://www.bwilcox.com/BEES/BEES.html
Energy Design Rating Dashboard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Use</th>
<th>Reference Design Site (kWh)</th>
<th>Reference Design Site (therms)</th>
<th>Reference Design Site (kTDV/ft²-yr)</th>
<th>Proposed Design Site (kWh)</th>
<th>Proposed Design Site (therms)</th>
<th>Proposed Design Site (kTDV/ft²-yr)</th>
<th>Proposed Design Site Margin (kTDV/ft²-yr)</th>
<th>Design Rating Margin (kTDV/ft²-yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space Heating</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>486.0</td>
<td>45.09</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>217.2</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Cooling</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>317</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.22</td>
<td>42.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAQ Ventilation</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other HVAC</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Heating</td>
<td>176.3</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaics</td>
<td>-5,022</td>
<td></td>
<td>-43.51</td>
<td>43.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside Lighting</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appl. &amp; Cooking</td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>35.06</td>
<td>2,371</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plug Loads</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior</td>
<td>97,05</td>
<td>735.7</td>
<td>204.49</td>
<td>-146</td>
<td>382.3</td>
<td>52.15</td>
<td>152.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,705</strong></td>
<td><strong>735.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>204.49</strong></td>
<td><strong>-146</strong></td>
<td><strong>382.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>52.15</strong></td>
<td><strong>152.34</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CPUC’s Grid Integration Study

- CEC is currently developing a ZNE residential building code

- CPUC managing study by DNV-GL regarding socialized cost, “ZNE Grid Integration Study”

- Purpose: Evaluate the impacts of ZNE on the distribution grid to be included in Title 24 cost-effectiveness method

- Scope: DNV GL’s scope is to calculate the integration costs of ZNE to the grid and work with CEC to incorporate these costs into Title 24.
• **Mapped annual PV growth** to distribution circuits, using a geographic allocation method.
• Assumed **2kW system size** per home
• Categorized into **representative circuits**
• Performed flow studies on 75 **sample circuits** assuming up to 160% penetration
• Evaluated **technical criteria**: voltage, thermal, reverse power flow
• Added **mitigation measures**: traditional measures, energy storage, smart inverters, optimal location
• Examined 2 scenarios:
  – **High Cost case** - all ZNE homes lumped together in one place
  – **Low Cost case** – ZNE homes distributed throughout feeder
## Results: High Cost Scenario

Grid Integration Costs for new PV between 2016 and 2026

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Cost Case</th>
<th>PG&amp;E</th>
<th>SCE</th>
<th>SDG&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Cost Per Ratepayer</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without ZNE</td>
<td>$850 M</td>
<td>$157</td>
<td>$134 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With ZNE</td>
<td>$1,473 M</td>
<td>$273</td>
<td>$179 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$623 M</td>
<td>$116</td>
<td>$45 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Smart Inverter Sensitivity Case

- Use of smart inverter functions (i.e., Volt / Var control) as mitigation measure
- Assumptions:
  - Used IOUs’ Volt / Var curves
  - Reactive power priority assumed.
  - Where smart inverters absorbed reactive power, a capacitor bank was assumed to be installed on the feeder. Functionality is assumed autonomous, so no other costs were added.
  - Real power losses not been included (max loss is 5% at any time; total energy loss would be significantly lower than this).
- Affects high cost case only. The low cost case results remain the same, as there was no requirement for energy storage to mitigate problems in that case.
### Results: Smart Inverter Sensitivity Case

Grid Integration Costs for new PV between 2016 and 2026

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smart Inverter Study</th>
<th>PG&amp;E</th>
<th>SCE</th>
<th>SDG&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Cost Per Ratepayer</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without ZNE</td>
<td>$262 M</td>
<td>$48</td>
<td>$92 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With ZNE</td>
<td>$510 M</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$116 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$248 M</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td>$24 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/3 to 2/3 lower than High Cost Scenario
# Results: Low Cost Scenario

Grid Integration Costs for new PV between 2016 and 2026

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Cost Case</th>
<th>PG&amp;E</th>
<th>SCE</th>
<th>SDG&amp;E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Cost Per Ratepayer</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without ZNE</td>
<td>$75 M</td>
<td>$14</td>
<td>$51 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With ZNE</td>
<td>$117 M</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$36 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>$42 M</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$15 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

80% – 95% **lower** than High Cost Scenario
## Mitigation Measures and Assumed Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Limit</th>
<th>Mitigation Measure</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>New voltage regulator</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage (if not mitigated by voltage regulator)</td>
<td>Energy storage</td>
<td>$460/kW + $450/kWh + $1500/100kW for installation. Assume 4 hours of storage required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Loading</td>
<td>Re-conductoring</td>
<td>$190/ft (average of overhead and underground re-conductoring costs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Power Flow at Regulator</td>
<td>Enable co-generation mode</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Power Flow at Substation Transformer</td>
<td>Enable co-generation mode</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Power Flow at Re-Closer</td>
<td>Implement re-close blocking</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reasons for the Cost Differences

• Average PV penetration
  – PG&E has the highest

• Number of homes projected per feeder
  – PG&E has the highest home : feeder ratio

• Distance from substation to end of circuit. Longer circuits are more sensitive to voltage issues
  – PG&E circuits are generally the longest
Staff Conclusions

• **Integration costs** of high penetration PV – whether driven by ZNE policy or NEM policy alone – **can be high if not mitigated.**

• **Mitigation measures are available** to reduce grid upgrade costs to more acceptable levels
  – **Smart inverters:** CPUC should update required smart inverter settings.
  – **Optimal location:** IOUs Integration Cost Analysis (ICA) tool should be helpful to indicate low cost locations.

• **Most likely case** is probably in the range indicated by the Smart Inverter Sensitivity Case
  – Effective Sept 2017: Smart Inverter Phase 1 capabilities will be required
  – CPUC staff proposal to modify Rule 21 to require reactive power priority (in Volt / Var settings)
  – Debatable whether realistic to assume that PV will be installed throughout a circuit
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